Caridis, Alyssa

From: Lindsay Cooper lindsay Cooper@quinnemanuel.com>

Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 9:41 PM

To: Jordan Jaffe; Roberts, Clement; Caridis, Alyssa; QE-Sonos3

Cc: Sonos-WDTX0881-service; Paige Amstutz

Subject: RE: Sonos v Google (WDTX) -- Amended Complaint

Alyssa,

It is Google's position that all proceedings in this case – including the parties' upcoming invalidity and claim construction deadlines – should be stayed until a final resolution of Google's motion to transfer. On Monday, Sonos indicated that it opposes such a stay. In the alternative, would Sonos be willing to complete venue discovery on an accelerated timeline to permit resolution of Google's transfer motion prior to Markman and other substantive portions of the case? We propose the following schedule:

- Venue discovery closes March 8
- Sonos responsive brief March 22
- Google reply brief April 5
- Hearing April 12 or as soon as the court can accommodate

To the extent the case is not stayed pending transfer, the case schedule will need to be adjusted in light of Sonos's request to add the '885 patent. When we discussed this on Monday, you indicated that Sonos may be amenable to some sort of adjustment. We would suggest pushing all substantive deadlines (i.e., those not associated with Google's motion to transfer and/or venue discovery) by eight weeks. This would include all claim construction deadlines as well as the Markman hearing. Google requires an eight-week extension to, among other things, conduct a further prior art search, investigate collecting additional documents for its initial technical production, and consider the implications of the new patent on claim construction.

During our call on Monday, you suggested keeping the existing schedule for the currently-asserted patents and creating a new schedule for the '885 patent. Frankly, we do not see how such a dual-track schedule would work. Given that the '885 patent is related to the '206 and '966 patents, the parties and the Court will be best-served if the parties negotiate claim terms and submit claim construction briefing on all three patents together. We are available if it would be helpful to discuss.

On Monday we also discussed adding the '885 patent to the NDCA DJ case. Sonos indicated that it would likely not oppose such a request. Please let us know Sonos's final position on this issue as soon as possible.

Lindsay

From: Jordan Jaffe

Sent: Sunday, January 10, 2021 9:50 PM

To: Roberts, Clement <croberts@orrick.com>; Caridis, Alyssa <acaridis@orrick.com>; QE-Sonos3 <qe-

sonos3@quinnemanuel.com>

Case 6:20-cv-00881-ADA Document 39-3 Filed 02/17/21 Page 2 of 5

Cc: Sonos-WDTX0881-service <Sonos-WDTX0881-service@orrick.com>; Paige Amstutz <pamstutz@scottdoug.com> **Subject:** RE: Sonos v Google (WDTX) -- Amended Complaint

Clement,

1pm Pacific works on our end. I'll circulate a zoom invite shortly.

Alyssa's email requested a meet and confer, so my email was in response to that. In any event, we'd like to discuss the basis for Sonos's request to assert the '885 patent and its possible effect on this case.

Best regards,

Jordan R. Jaffe // Quinn Emanuel // 415.498.0556 // jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com

From: Roberts, Clement < <u>croberts@orrick.com</u>>

Sent: Friday, January 08, 2021 5:31 PM

To: Jordan Jaffe < jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com >; Caridis, Alyssa < acaridis@orrick.com >; QE-Sonos3 < qe-

sonos3@quinnemanuel.com>

Cc: Sonos-WDTX0881-service <Sonos-WDTX0881-service@orrick.com>

Subject: RE: Sonos v Google (WDTX) -- Amended Complaint

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Jordan -

I can meet and confer on Monday afternoon. How about 1pm pacific?

Would you kindly tell me what it is you would like to discuss so I can consider your position in advance of the meeting? Its not required, of course, but it may make the meeting more productive.

Thanks,

Clem

From: Jordan Jaffe < jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com >

Sent: Friday, January 8, 2021 5:04 PM

To: Caridis, Alyssa acaridis@orrick.com; QE-Sonos3 qe-sonos3@quinnemanuel.com

Cc: Sonos-WDTX0881-service < Sonos-WDTX0881-service@orrick.com >

Subject: RE: Sonos v Google (WDTX) -- Amended Complaint

Alyssa,

Are you able to meet and confer regarding this request on Monday afternoon (PT)?

Best regards,

Case 6:20-cv-00881-ADA Document 39-2 Filed 02/17/21 Page 3 of 5

Jordan R. Jaffe

Partner,

Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP

50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111

415.498.0556 Google Voice Number (Connects to Direct Office Line and Mobile)

415.875.6600 Main Office Number

LinkedIn Profile

jordanjaffe@guinnemanuel.com

www.quinnemanuel.com

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

To: QE-Sonos3 < ge-sonos3@guinnemanuel.com>

Cc: Sonos-WDTX0881-service <Sonos-WDTX0881-service@orrick.com>

Subject: Sonos v Google (WDTX) -- Amended Complaint

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Counsel,

Sonos intends to move next week to amend the operative complaint in this action to add a new asserted patent (USPN 10, 848,885). Sonos's proposed amended complaint is attached, as well as a red-line document showing changes from the original complaint. In addition, Sonos attaches hereto its anticipated Supplemental Infringement Contentions and claim chart relating to the '885 Patent.

Please let us know when you are available early next week for a meet and confer pursuant Local Rule 7(i).

Thanks, Alyssa

Alyssa Caridis

Intellectual Property Partner

Pronouns: she/her/hers

Orrick

Los Angeles T 2136122372 acaridis@orrick.com



Case 6:20-cv-00881-ADA Document 39-2 Filed 02/14/21 Page 5 of 5

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT | This e-mail is meant for only the intended recipient of the transmission, and may be a communication privileged by law. If you received this e-mail in error, any review, use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately of the error by return e-mail and please delete this message from your system. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

For more information about Orrick, please visit http://www.orrick.com.

In the course of our business relationship, we may collect, store and transfer information about you. Please see our privacy policy at https://www.orrick.com/Privacy-Policy to learn about how we use this information.

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT | This e-mail is meant for only the intended recipient of the transmission, and may be a communication privileged by law. If you received this e-mail in error, any review, use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately of the error by return e-mail and please delete this message from your system. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

For more information about Orrick, please visit http://www.orrick.com.

In the course of our business relationship, we may collect, store and transfer information about you. Please see our privacy policy at https://www.orrick.com/Privacy-Policy to learn about how we use this information.